

On Bernstein Algebras with Low-dimension Subspaces U^2

Kohei Miyamoto

Department of Education, Faculty of Letters,
Mukogawa Women's University, Nishinomiya 663, Japan

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to study some relations between dimensions of the subspaces UZ , Z^2 , U^2 and U^3 of a finite-dimensional Bernstein algebra $A = Ke + U + Z$. The main results are concerned in the dependency of $\dim U^3$ on $\dim U^2$ in the case of $\dim U^2 \leq 4$.

§ 0. Introduction

A nonassociative, commutative algebra A over a field K is called a Bernstein algebra if there exists a nonzero algebra homomorphism $\omega: A \rightarrow K$ that satisfies

$$(x^2)^2 = \omega(x)^2 x^2$$

for every $x \in A$. We suppose that K is an infinite field of characteristic different from 2 and that the dimension of a vector space A over K is finite.

It is known that a Bernstein algebra has always idempotents. If e is an idempotent of A , then A has a Peirce decomposition $A = Ke + U + Z$, where $\text{Ker}(\omega) = U + Z$, $U = \{x \in A \mid ex = \frac{1}{2}x\}$, $Z = \{x \in A \mid ex = 0\}$.

It is well known that the subspaces U and Z satisfy the following:

- (a) $U^2 \subset Z$, (b) $UZ + Z^2 \subset U$, (c) $UZ^2 = \langle 0 \rangle$.

It is also well known that the following identities holds for $u, u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4 \in U$ and for $z, z_1, z_2 \in Z$:

- (d) $u^3 = 0, u_1^2 u_2 = -2u_1(u_1 u_2),$
 $u_1(u_2 u_3) = -(u_1 u_2)u_3 - (u_1 u_3)u_2;$
 (e) $(u^2)^2 = 0, u_1^2(u_2 u_3) = -2(u_1 u_2)(u_1 u_3),$
 $u_1^2 u_2^2 = -2(u_1 u_2)^2, u_1^2(u_1 u_2) = 0,$
 $(u_1 u_2)(u_3 u_4) + (u_1 u_3)(u_2 u_4) +$
 $(u_1 u_4)(u_2 u_3) = 0;$
 (f) $u(uz) = 0, u_1(u_2 z) + u_2(u_1 z) = 0;$

- (g) $(uz)^2 = 0, (u_1 z)(u_2 z) = 0,$
 $(uz_1)(uz_2) = 0,$
 $(u_1 z_1)(u_2 z_2) = -(u_1 z_2)(u_2 z_1).$

On the other hand, it is known that the set of idempotents of A is given by

$\{e + u + u^2 \mid u \in U\}$ and that if $A = Ke + \bar{U} + \bar{Z}$ is a Peirce decomposition of A with respect to another idempotent $\bar{e} := e + \bar{u} + \bar{u}^2$, then

- (h) $\bar{U} = \{u + 2u\bar{u} \mid u \in U\}$ and
 $\bar{Z} = \{z - 2(\bar{u} + \bar{u}^2)z \mid z \in Z\}$

Moreover, it is known that, $\dim U$ (and so also $\dim Z$) is an invariant of A , that is, it does not depend on the choice of the particular idempotent. Furthermore $\dim U^2$ and $\dim(UZ + Z^2)$ are also invariants of A .¹⁾ These invariants play a fundamental role in the problem of classifying all finite-dimensional Bernstein algebras, that is yet to be solved. In connection with that we are interested in possible combinations of the values of $\dim U^2$, $\dim U^3$, $\dim UZ$, and $\dim Z^2$.

In the following the subspace spanned by $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_l \in A$ over K is denoted by $\langle x_1, \dots, x_l \rangle_K$, or simply $\langle x_1, \dots, x_l \rangle$ if there exist no apprehensions of misinterpretations.

§ 1. Sufficient conditions for $\dim U^2 \leq 1$

We state some sufficient conditions for $\dim U^2$ to be equal to or less than 1.

Proposition 1. If $\dim Z^2 = \dim U$, then $U^2 = \langle 0 \rangle$.

proof. Since $U = Z^2$ by assumption and (a), it is clear that $U^2 = \langle 0 \rangle$ from (c). \square

Proposition 2. If $\dim Z^2 = \dim U - 1$, then $\dim U^2 \leq 1$.

proof. Assume that $\dim Z^2 = \dim U - 1$. Then there exists $u \neq 0 \in U$ such that $U = Z^2 + Ku$. Hence $U^2 = UZ^2 + uU \subset u(Z^2 + Ku) \subset Ku^2$ by (c). $\therefore \dim U^2 \leq \dim Ku^2 \leq 1$. \square

Proposition 3. If there exists a nonzero element z in Z such that $U = Uz$, then $U^2 = \langle 0 \rangle$.

proof. By assumption there exist a basis $\{u_i | 1 \leq i \leq p\}$ of U and an element $z \neq 0$ of Z such that $\{u_i z | 1 \leq i \leq p\}$ is a basis of U . Then, since $u_i = \sum_{j=1}^p \alpha_{ij} u_j z$ with $\alpha_{ij} \in K$ for each i , $1 \leq i \leq p$, we have $u_i u_k = \sum \alpha_{ij} \alpha_{kl} (u_j z) (u_l z) = 0$ from (g). \square

Proposition 4. If $\dim U = \dim UZ = 1$, then $U^2 = \langle 0 \rangle$.

proof. Let z_1, \dots, z_q be a basis of Z and $U = Ku$, $u \neq 0$. Since $UZ = U$, there exist $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q$ in K such that $uz_i = \alpha_i u$ ($1 \leq i \leq q$), where at least one element, e.g. α_1 , is not 0. Then $u^2 = \alpha_1^{-2} (uz_1)^2 = 0$ by (g). \square

§ 2. The case $U^2 = \langle 0 \rangle$ with $UZ = U$

Proposition 5. If $\dim UZ = \dim U$, $U^2 = \langle 0 \rangle$, and $\dim Z = 1$, then it is reduced to the case $Z^2 = \langle 0 \rangle$.

proof. The condition that $\dim UZ = \dim U$ is equivalent to $UZ = U$ by (b). Thus we show that, if $Z^2 \neq \langle 0 \rangle$, one can choose proper idempotent \bar{e} so that A has a Peirce decomposition $A = K\bar{e} + \bar{U} + \bar{Z}$ satisfying that $\bar{U}\bar{Z} = \bar{U}$, $\bar{U}^2 = \langle 0 \rangle$, and $\bar{Z}^2 = \langle 0 \rangle$.

Now choose one nonzero element z_1 of Z and put $u_1 = z_1^2$. If $p = \dim U$, then $Z^2 = Kz_1^2$ and there exists a basis $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_p\}$ of U with $u_1 = z_1^2$. Since $UZ = U$, $u_1 z_1, \dots, u_p z_1$ are linearly independent. Hence, if we write $u_i z_1 = \sum_{j=1}^p \alpha_{ij} u_j$

($i = 1, \dots, p$), then the determinant $\Delta := \det[\alpha_{ij}]$ is not 0. Then, the linear equation $\sum \lambda_i (u_i z_1) z_1 = 0$ with $\lambda_i \in K$ implies that $\sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i [\sum_{j=1}^p \alpha_{ij} u_j z_1] = \sum_{j=1}^p [\sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i \alpha_{ij}] u_j z_1 = 0$, therefore, $\sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i \alpha_{ij} = 0$ for each j and the determinant of this system of linear equations is identical to Δ . Because $\Delta \neq 0$, we have $\lambda_i = 0$ for all i , which means that $(u_1 z_1) z_1, \dots, (u_p z_1) z_1$ are linearly independent and there exist uniquely β_i ($i = 1, \dots, p$) in K such that $u_1 = \sum \beta_i (u_i z_1) z_1$. Now, if we define $\bar{u} := \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^p \beta_i u_i$ and $\bar{e} := e + \bar{u}$, then, from (h), we get $\bar{U} = \{u + 2u\bar{u} | u \in U\} = U$ and $\bar{Z} = \{z - 2\bar{u}z | z \in Z\} = K(z_1 - 2\bar{u}z_1)$ with $(z_1 - 2\bar{u}z_1)^2 = 0$ by $U^2 = \langle 0 \rangle$ and (g). \square

§ 3. Some consequences of $\dim U^2 = 1$

Theorem 1. If $\dim U^2 = 1$, then $U^3 = \langle 0 \rangle$ and $(U^2)^2 = \langle 0 \rangle$.

proof. Let $\{u_i | i = 1, \dots, p\}$ be a basis of U . Then, by assumption, there exists at least one nonzero element in the set $\{u_i u_j | 1 \leq i \leq j \leq p\}$. Let $z_1 := u_{i_0} u_{j_0} \neq 0$ and put $u_i u_j = \alpha_{ij} z_1$ with $\alpha_{ij} \in K$ for each pair i, j ($1 \leq i \leq j \leq p$). Then there occur two possible cases: $i_0 = j_0$ or $i_0 < j_0$. We prove the assertion in each case.

i) If $i_0 = j_0$, then we can assume that $i_0 = j_0 = 1$, i.e., $z_1 = u_1^2$ without loss of generality. From (d) we have that $z_1 u_i = -2\alpha_{1i} u_1^3 = 0$ for all j , which means that $U^3 = \langle 0 \rangle$. On the other hand, since $U^2 = Kz_1$ and $z_1^2 = 0$ from (d), we get also $(U^2)^2 = \langle 0 \rangle$. \square

ii) If $i_0 < j_0$, then we can put $(i_0, j_0) = (1, 2)$, i.e., $z_1 = u_1 u_2$ without loss of generality. Then, by assumption and (d), it holds that

$$(1) \quad (u_1^2)^2 = \alpha_{11}^2 z_1^2 = 0, \quad (u_2^2)^2 = \alpha_{22}^2 z_1^2 = 0;$$

$$(2) \quad z_1 u_1 = -\frac{1}{2} u_1^2 u_2 = -\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{11} z_1 u_2 = \frac{1}{4} \alpha_{11} u_1 u_2^2 \\ = \frac{1}{4} \alpha_{11} \alpha_{22} z_1 u_1;$$

and in like manner

$$(3) \quad z_1 u_2 = \frac{1}{4} \alpha_{11} \alpha_{22} z_1 u_2;$$

$$(4) \quad z_1 u_i = \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1i} \alpha_{22} z_1 u_1 + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{2i} \alpha_{11} z_1 u_2 \\ \text{for all } i (3 \leq i \leq p).$$

The equation (1) implies the following

$$(5) \quad z_1^2=0 \text{ or } \alpha_{11}=\alpha_{22}=0.$$

Thus, if $\alpha_{11}\alpha_{22}\neq 4$, then $U^3=\langle 0 \rangle$ by virtue of (2), (3) and (4), and moreover $z_1^2=-\frac{1}{2}u_1^2u_2^2=-\frac{1}{2}\alpha_{11}\alpha_{22}z_1^2$ by (5). On the contrary, if $\alpha_{11}=\alpha_{22}=4$, then this case belongs to the case $i_0=j_0=1$, since $u_1^2=\alpha_{11}z_1\neq 0$. \square

Corollary 1. If $\dim U^2=\dim Z=1$, then $UZ+Z^2=\langle 0 \rangle$.

proof. The claim follows from Theorem 1 since $U^2=Z$. \square

Theorem 2. If $\dim U^2=1$ and $\dim Z=2$, then $\dim UZ<\dim U$.

proof. Let $\{u_1, \dots, u_p\}$ be a basis of U and choose a basis $\{z_1, z_2\}$ of Z such that $U^2=Kz_1$. Then we get $Uz_1=0$ as a corollary of Theorem 1. Therefore $UZ=\langle u_1z_2, u_2z_2, \dots, u_pz_2 \rangle$. Put $u_iu_j=\alpha_{ij}z_1$ and $u_jz_2=\sum \gamma_{ik}u_k$ with $\alpha_{ij}, \gamma_{ik} \in K$ for every $i, j (1 \leq i \leq j \leq p)$. Then, since $u_i(u_jz_2)+u_j(u_iz_2)=0$ and $(u_iz_2)(u_jz_2)=0$ from (f) and (g), respectively, the following equations hold for each pair (i, j) :

$$(1) \quad \sum_k \alpha_{ik}\gamma_{jk} + \sum_k \alpha_{jk}\gamma_{ik} = 0,$$

$$(2) \quad \sum_k \alpha_{ki}\gamma_{ik}\gamma_{jk} = 0.$$

Define $\gamma_k := \sum_i \gamma_{ik}$ and $\alpha_{\cdot k} := \sum_j \alpha_{jk}$ for each k . Then, from (1) $0 = \sum_j [\sum_k \alpha_{ik}\gamma_{jk}] + \sum_j [\sum_k \alpha_{jk}\gamma_{ik}] = \sum_k [\sum_j \gamma_{jk}]\alpha_{ik} + \sum_k [\sum_j \alpha_{jk}]\gamma_{ik}$. Therefore

$$(3) \quad \sum_k \alpha_{ik}\gamma_k + \sum_k \alpha_{\cdot k}\gamma_{ik} = 0 \text{ for } i=1, \dots, p.$$

There are two possible cases.

If $\gamma_k=0$ for all k , then, putting $u_0 := \sum_i u_i$, we have that $u_0z_2 = \sum_i u_iz_2 = \sum_i [\sum_k \gamma_{ik}u_k] = \sum_k [\sum_i \gamma_{ik}]u_k = \sum_k \gamma_k u_k = 0$. Therefore, by adopting $\{u_0, u_2, \dots, u_p\}$ as a basis of U , we get that $Uz_2 = \sum_{j=2}^p Ku_jz_2$. So $\dim Uz_2 < \dim U$.

If $\gamma_{k_0} \neq 0$ for some k_0 , then there exist two situations:

i) If $\sum_k \alpha_{ik}\gamma_k \neq 0$ for some i , then ξ_1, \dots, ξ_p defined by $\xi_i := \sum_k \alpha_{ik}\gamma_k (i=1, \dots, p)$ satisfy the simultaneous equations $\sum_k \gamma_{jk}\xi_k = 0 (j=1, \dots, p)$, which is shown from (2), and $\xi_i \neq 0$ for some i by assumption. Therefore $\det[\gamma_{jk}]_{j,k} = 0$, which means that u_1z_2, \dots, u_pz_2 are linearly dependent

and $\dim Uz_2 < p$.

ii) If $\sum_k \alpha_{ik}\gamma_k = 0$ for all i , then equations (3) is reduced to

$$(4) \quad \sum_k \alpha_{\cdot k}\gamma_{ik} = 0 \text{ for } i=1, \dots, p.$$

Since $u_i \sum_k u_k = \sum_k \alpha_{ik}z_1 = \alpha_{\cdot i}z_1$ for all i , the claim that $\alpha_{\cdot k} = 0$ for all k is contrary to the assumption that $\dim U^2=1$ and we can conclude that $\alpha_{\cdot k} \neq 0$ for some k . Then the simultaneous equations $\sum_k \gamma_{ik}\xi_k = 0 (i=1, \dots, p)$ have non-trivial solutions $\xi_k = \alpha_{\cdot k} (k=1, \dots, p)$, which means that $\dim Uz_2 < p$. \square

§ 4. The case $\dim U^2=2, 3$, or 4

First of all we state two lemmas which will be used in the proofs of the theorems following below. The first lemma is elementary.

Lemma 1. Let $B=\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\} (k>0)$ be a basis of a k -dimensional vector space V and $b=\sum \lambda_i a_i$ a nonzero vector with some $\lambda_i \neq 0$. Then, also the set $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{i-1}, b, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_k\}$ obtained from B by replacing a_i with b is a basis of V .

Lemma 2. Let $\{u_i | i=1, \dots, p\}$ be a basis of U and $\{z_r = u_{i_r}u_{j_r} | r=1, \dots, k\}$ a basis of U^2 , where we suppose that $k=\dim U^2$, $1 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_k \leq p$, $1 \leq j_1 \leq j_2 \leq \dots \leq j_k \leq p$, and $i_r \leq j_r$ for $r=1, 2, \dots, k$. If X is a subspace of U and $z_ru_t \in X$ for each $r (1 \leq r \leq k)$ and each $t \in \{i_1, j_1, i_2, j_2, \dots, i_k, j_k\}$, then $z_ru_t \in X$ for each $r (1 \leq r \leq k)$ and each $t (1 \leq t \leq p)$.

proof. We shall put $z_s = u_{i_s}u_{j_s} (i=i_s, j=j_s)$ for any $s (1 \leq s \leq k)$ and $u_iu_t = \sum \alpha_{it}^{(r)}z_r$, $u_ju_t = \sum \alpha_{jt}^{(r)}z_r$ for each $t (1 \leq t \leq p)$ with $t \in \{i_1, j_1, i_2, j_2, \dots, i_k, j_k\}$. Then, by (d), $z_su_t = -(u_iu_t)u_j - (u_ju_t)u_i = -\sum \alpha_{it}^{(r)}z_ru_j - \sum \alpha_{jt}^{(r)}z_ru_i$, where $z_ru_i, z_ru_j \in X$ by assumption. Therefore $z_su_t \in X$. \square

Theorem 3. If $\dim U^2=2$, then $U^3=\langle 0 \rangle$ or else $(U^2)^2=\langle 0 \rangle$ and, in either case, $\dim U^3 \leq 1$.

proof. Let $u_1, \dots, u_p (p \geq 2)$ be a basis of U . Then, by assumption, we can choose two

products $u_i u_j$ and $u_k u_l$ with $i \leq j, k \leq l, i \leq k, (i, j) \neq (k, l)$, as a basis of U^2 . On the other hand we can easily see that each possible combination of $u_i, u_j; u_k, u_l$, denoted simply $[ij, kl]$, belongs to one of the following five types, by changing the number i of u_i , if necessary:

- 1: $[11, 22]$ (i.e. u_1^2, u_2^2)
- 2: $[11, 12]$ (i.e. $u_1^2, u_1 u_2$)
- 3: $[11, 23]$ (i.e. $u_1^2, u_2 u_3$)
- 4: $[12, 13]$ (i.e. $u_1 u_2, u_1 u_3$)
- 5: $[12, 34]$ (i.e. $u_1 u_2, u_3 u_4$)

Once a basis z_1, z_2 of U^2 is chosen, each remaining product $u_i u_j \in U^2$ will be written $u_i u_j = \alpha_{ij} z_1 + \beta_{ij} z_2$ with $\alpha_{ij}, \beta_{ij} \in K$. We shall establish the assertion of the theorem for each type, separately.

Type 1: $[11, 22]$

We put $z_1 = u_1^2$ and $z_2 = u_2^2$. Then by (d)

$$(1) \quad z_1 u_1 = z_2 u_2 = 0, \quad z_1 u_2 = 4\alpha_{12}\beta_{12}z_1 u_2,$$

and by (e)

$$(2) \quad z_1^2 = z_2^2 = 0, \text{ therefore}$$

$$z_1 z_2 = -2(u_1 u_2)^2 = -4\alpha_{12}\beta_{12}z_1 z_2.$$

If $4\alpha_{12}\beta_{12} = -1$, then we can conclude from (1) that $z_i u_j = 0$ for $i, j = 1, 2$, which implies by virtue of Lemma 2 that $z_1 u_i = z_2 u_i = 0$ for all $i (1 \leq i \leq p)$. Consequently we have $U^3 = 0$. On the contrary, if $4\alpha_{12}\beta_{12} \neq 0$, we obtain that $U^3 \subset Kz_1 u_2$ and $\dim U^3 \leq 1$ from (1). Also $(U^2)^2 = 0$ from (2). \square

Type 2: $[11, 12]$

We put $z_1 = u_1^2$ and $z_2 = u_1 u_2$. If $\beta_{22} \neq 0$, then this type is reduced to Type 1, as is seen easily by Lemma 1. Therefore we can suppose $\beta_{22} = 0$, that is, $u_2^2 = \alpha_{22} z_1$. Define X by $X = Kz_1 u_2$. Then by (d) and by assumption

$$(1) \quad z_1 u_1 = 0, \quad z_2 u_1 = -\frac{1}{2} z_1 u_2 \in X, \text{ so}$$

$$z_2 u_2 = -\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{22} z_1 u_1 = 0,$$

therefore by Lemma 2

$$(2) \quad z_1 u_i \in X, \quad z_2 u_i \in X \text{ for all } i (1 \leq i \leq p).$$

Consequently we have $U^3 \subset X$ and so forth.

Moreover, (e) and $(u_1 u_2)^2 = -\frac{1}{2} u_1^2 u_2^2 = -\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{22} z_1^2 = 0$ imply that $(U^2)^2 = \langle 0 \rangle$. \square

Type 3: $[11, 23]$

We put $z_1 = u_1^2$, and $z_2 = u_2 u_3$. If there exists

at least one nonzero element in $\{\beta_{22}, \beta_{33}\}$ or $\{\alpha_{22}, \alpha_{33}, \beta_{12}, \beta_{13}\}$, then this type is reduced to Type 1 or Type 2, respectively, as is shown by Lemma 1. Therefore we can suppose that $\alpha_{22} = \beta_{22} = \alpha_{33} = \beta_{33} = \beta_{12} = \beta_{13} = 0$, i.e., $u_2^2 = u_3^2 = 0, u_1 u_2 = \alpha_{12} u_1^2$ and $u_1 u_3 = \alpha_{13} u_1^2$. Then by assumption and (d)

$$(1) \quad z_1 u_i = z_2 u_i = 0 \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Consequently by Lemma 2

$$(2) \quad z_1 u_i = z_2 u_i = 0 \text{ for all } i (1 \leq i \leq p),$$

which means that $U^3 = \langle 0 \rangle$. \square

Type 4: $[12, 13]$

We put $z_1 = u_1 u_2$ and $z_2 = u_1 u_3$. If there exists at least one nonzero element in $\{\alpha_{11}, \beta_{11}, \beta_{22}, \alpha_{33}\}$ or $\{\alpha_{22}, \beta_{33}\}$, then this type is reduced to Type 2 or Type 3, respectively, as is shown by Lemma 1. Hence we can suppose that $\alpha_{ii} = \beta_{ii} = 0$, i.e., $u_i^2 = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $u_2 u_3 = \alpha_{23} z_1 + \beta_{23} z_2$. Now define the subspace X of U by $X = Kz_1 u_3$. Then by assumption and (d)

$$(1) \quad z_1 u_1 = z_1 u_2 = z_2 u_1 = z_2 u_3 = 0, \quad z_2 u_2 = -z_1 u_3.$$

Therefore by Lemma 2

$$(2) \quad z_1 u_i \in X, \quad z_2 u_i \in X \text{ for } i (1 \leq i \leq p),$$

which means that $U^3 \subset X$ and so forth. On the other hand, by assumption and (e), $z_1^2 = z_2^2 = z_1 z_2 = 0$. This implies that $(U^2)^2 = \langle 0 \rangle$. \square

Type 5: $[12, 34]$

We put $z_1 = u_1 u_2$ and $z_2 = u_3 u_4$. If there exists at least one nonzero element in $\{\beta_{11}, \beta_{22}, \alpha_{33}, \alpha_{44}\}, \{\alpha_{11}, \alpha_{22}, \beta_{33}, \beta_{44}\}$ or $\{\alpha_{13}, \alpha_{23}, \beta_{13}, \beta_{23}, \alpha_{14}, \alpha_{24}, \beta_{14}, \beta_{24}\}$, then this type is reduced to Type 2, 3 or 4, respectively, as is shown by Lemma 1. Therefore we can assume that $u_i u_j = 0$ for all $(i, j) \neq (1, 2), (3, 4) (1 \leq i, j \leq 4)$. Then by assumption and (d)

$$(1) \quad z_1 u_1 = z_1 u_2 = z_2 u_3 = z_2 u_4 = 0,$$

$$z_1 u_3 = z_1 u_4 = z_2 u_1 = z_2 u_2 = 0.$$

Then by Lemma 2

$$(2) \quad z_1 u_i = z_2 u_i = 0 \text{ for all } i (1 \leq i \leq p).$$

Therefore $U^3 = \langle 0 \rangle$ and so forth. \square

Corollary 2. If $\dim U^2 = 2$ and $U^2 = Z$, then $UZ = \langle 0 \rangle$ or else $Z^2 = \langle 0 \rangle$, and, in either case, $\dim UZ \leq 1$.

Theorem 4. If $\dim U^2=3$, then $\dim U^3 \leq 2$ or else $(U^2)^2 = \langle 0 \rangle$ and, in either case, $\dim U^3 \leq 3$.

proof. We shall prove the theorem in the same method as one for Theorem 3 that is composed of classifying the types of base elements of U^2 and computing separately according to the types, reducing to the established types before by virtue of Lemma 1 and Lemma 2. However, in order to avoid redundancy, we shall describe in the following only results of verification omitting the detail of computing.

Let u_1, \dots, u_p be a basis of U . Then, by assumption, we can choose three products $u_i u_j$, $u_k u_l$ and $u_m u_n$ with $i \leq j$, $k \leq l$, $m \leq n$, $i \leq k \leq m$, $(i, j) \neq (k, l) \neq (m, n)$, as a basis of U^2 . Then each possible combination in three products, denoted by $[ij, kl, mn]$ for short, belongs to one of the fourteen types listed below by changing the number i of u_i , if necessary:

- | | |
|------------------|------------------|
| 1: [11, 12, 22] | 2: [12, 22, 23] |
| 3: [11, 12, 23] | 4: [11, 22, 33] |
| 5: [11, 22, 23] | 6: [12, 13, 23] |
| 7: [11, 22, 34] | 8: [11, 12, 34] |
| 9: [11, 23, 24] | 10: [12, 13, 14] |
| 11: [12, 13, 24] | 12: [11, 23, 45] |
| 13: [12, 13, 45] | 14: [12, 34, 56] |

Once a basis z_1, z_2, z_3 of U^2 are chosen, every remaining product $u_i u_j \in U^2$ will be written $u_i u_j = \alpha_{ij} z_1 + \beta_{ij} z_2 + \gamma_{ij} z_3$ with $\alpha_{ij}, \beta_{ij}, \gamma_{ij} \in K$.

Type 1: [11, 12, 22]

We put $z_1 = u_1^2$, $z_2 = u_1 u_2$, and $z_3 = u_2^2$. Then it is shown that $U^3 \subset \langle z_1 u_2, z_3 u_1 \rangle$ and $\dim U^3 \leq 2$. \square

Type 2: [12, 22, 23]

We put $z_1 = u_1^2$, $z_2 = u_2^2$, and $z_3 = u_2 u_3$. Omitting the case that is reduced to Type 1, we can assume that $\alpha_{33} = \gamma_{12} = 0$. Then it is shown that $U^3 \subset \langle z_1 u_2, z_1 u_3, z_2 u_3 \rangle$ and that $z_1 u_2, z_1 u_3, z_2 u_3$ are linearly dependent, so $\dim U^3 \leq 2$.

Type 3: [11, 12, 23]

We put $z_1 = u_1^2$, $z_2 = u_1 u_2$, and $z_3 = u_2 u_3$. Omitting the case that is reduced to Type 1 or Type 2, we can assume that $\beta_{22} = \beta_{33} = \gamma_{22} = \gamma_{33} = 0$. Then it is shown that $U^3 \subset \langle z_1 u_2, z_3 u_1 \rangle$ and

so forth. \square

Type 4: [11, 22, 33]

We put $z_1 = u_1^2$, $z_2 = u_2^2$, and $z_3 = u_3^2$. Omitting the case that is reduced to Type 1 or Type 2, we can assume that $\alpha_{ij} = \beta_{ij} = \gamma_{ij} = 0$ for all $i, j (1 \leq i \leq j \leq 3)$. Then it is shown that $U^3 = \langle 0 \rangle$ and that, together with the preceding results, $\dim U^3 \leq 2$. \square

Type 5: [12, 22, 23]

We put $z_1 = u_1 u_2$, $z_2 = u_2^2$, and $z_3 = u_3^2$. Omitting the case that is reduced to Type 1, 2, or 3, we can assume that $\alpha_{11} = \gamma_{11} = \gamma_{33} = \beta_{11} = \beta_{33} = \alpha_{13} = \gamma_{13} = 0$. Then it is shown that $U^3 \subset \langle z_1 u_3, z_2 u_1, z_2 u_3 \rangle$ and that $(U^2)^2 = \langle 0 \rangle$. \square

Type 6: [12, 13, 23]

We put $z_1 = u_1 u_2$, $z_2 = u_1 u_3$, and $z_3 = u_2 u_3$. Omitting the case that is reduced to Type 2 or Type 5, we can assume that $\alpha_{ii} = \beta_{ii} = \gamma_{ii} = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Then it is shown that $U^3 \subset \langle z_1 u_3, z_3 u_1 \rangle$ and so forth. \square

Type 7: [11, 22, 34]

We put $z_1 = u_1^2$, $z_2 = u_2^2$, and $z_3 = u_3 u_4$. Omitting the case that is reduced to Type 1, 2, 3, or 4, we can assume that $\alpha_{33} = \beta_{33} = \gamma_{33} = \alpha_{44} = \beta_{44} = \gamma_{44} = \gamma_{12} = \beta_{13} = \gamma_{13} = \beta_{14} = \gamma_{14} = \alpha_{23} = \gamma_{23} = \alpha_{24} = \gamma_{24} = 0$. Then it is shown that $U^3 \subset K z_1 u_2$ and so forth. \square

Type 8: [11, 12, 34]

We put $z_1 = u_1^2$, $z_2 = u_1 u_2$, and $z_3 = u_3 u_4$. Omitting the case that is reduced to Type 1, 2, 3, 5, or 7, we can assume that $\beta_{22} = \gamma_{22} = \beta_{33} = \gamma_{33} = \beta_{44} = \gamma_{44} = \gamma_{12} = \beta_{13} = \gamma_{13} = \beta_{14} = \gamma_{14} = \gamma_{23} = \gamma_{24} = 0$. Then it is shown that $U^3 \subset K z_1 u_2$ and so forth. \square

Type 9: [11, 23, 24]

We put $z_1 = u_1^2$, $z_2 = u_2 u_3$, and $z_3 = u_2 u_4$. Omitting the case that is reduced to Type 2, 3, 5, 7, or 8, we can assume that $\alpha_{ii} = \beta_{ii} = \gamma_{ii} = \beta_{1i} = \gamma_{1i} = 0$ for $j = 2, 3, 4$. Then it is shown that $U^3 \subset K z_2 u_4$ and so forth. \square

Type 10: [12, 13, 14]

We put $z_1 = u_1 u_2$, $z_2 = u_1 u_3$, and $z_3 = u_1 u_4$. Omitting the case that is reduced to Type 3, 5, 6, or 9, we can assume that $\alpha_{ii} = \beta_{ii} = \gamma_{ii} = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ and $\alpha_{34} = \beta_{24} = \gamma_{23} = 0$. Then it

is shown that $U^3 \subset \langle z_1 u_3, z_1 u_4, z_2 u_4 \rangle$ and that $(U^2)^2 = \langle 0 \rangle$. \square

Type 11: [12, 13, 24]

We put $z_1 = u_1 u_2$, $z_2 = u_1 u_3$, and $z_3 = u_2 u_4$. Omitting the case that is reduced to Type 3, 5, 6, 8, 9, or 10, we can assume that $\alpha_{ii} = \beta_{ii} = \gamma_{ii} = 0$ for $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ and $\beta_{ij} = \gamma_{ij} = 0$ for $(i, j) = (1, 4), (2, 3)$. Then it is shown that $U^3 \subset \langle z_1 u_3, z_1 u_4 \rangle$ and so forth. \square

Type 12: [11, 23, 45]

We put $z_1 = u_1^2$, $z_2 = u_2 u_3$, and $z_3 = u_4 u_5$. Omitting the case that is reduced to Type 2, 3, 7, 8, 9, or 11, we can assume that $\alpha_{ii} = \beta_{ii} = \gamma_{ii} = 0$ for $i = 2, 3, 4, 5$ and $\alpha_{ij} = \beta_{ij} = \gamma_{ij} = 0$ for $i = 2, 3$ and $j = 4, 5$ and $\beta_{1i} = \gamma_{1i} = 0$ for $i = 2, 3, 4, 5$. Then it is shown that $U^3 = \langle 0 \rangle$ and so forth. \square

Type 13: [12, 13, 45]

We put $z_1 = u_1 u_2$, $z_2 = u_1 u_3$, and $z_3 = u_4 u_5$. Omitting the case that is reduced to Type 3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11 or 12, we can assume that $\alpha_{ii} = \beta_{ii} = \gamma_{ii} = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ and $\alpha_{14} = \alpha_{15} = \alpha_{34} = \alpha_{35} = \beta_{14} = \beta_{15} = \beta_{24} = \beta_{25} = \gamma_{14} = \gamma_{15} = \gamma_{23} = \gamma_{24} = \gamma_{25} = \gamma_{34} = \gamma_{35} = 0$. Then it is shown that $U^3 \subset Kz_1 u_3$ and so forth. \square

Type 14: [12, 34, 56]

We put $z_1 = u_1 u_2$, $z_2 = u_3 u_4$, and $z_3 = u_5 u_6$. Omitting the case that is reduced to Type 8, 10, 11, 12, or 13, we can assume that $u_i u_j = 0$ for every pair $(i, j) \neq (1, 2), (3, 4), (5, 6)$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq 6$). Then it is shown that $U^3 = \langle 0 \rangle$ and so forth. \square

Corollary 3. If $\dim U^2 = 3$ and $U^2 = Z$, then $\dim UZ \leq 2$ or else $Z^2 = \langle 0 \rangle$, and, in either case, $\dim UZ \leq 3$.

Theorem 5. If $\dim U^2 = 4$, then $\dim U^3 \leq 5$ or else $(U^2)^2 = \langle 0 \rangle$ and, in either case, $\dim U^3 \leq 6$.

proof. We shall state here also only results of verification omitting the detail of computing.

Let u_1, \dots, u_p be a basis of U . Then, by assumption, we can choose four products $z_1 = u_i u_j$, $z_2 = u_k u_l$, $z_3 = u_m u_n$, and $z_4 = u_3 u_t$, where

$i \leq j, k \leq l, m \leq n, s \leq t, i \leq k \leq m \leq s, (i, j) \neq (k, l) \neq (m, n) \neq (s, t)$, as a basis of U^2 . Then each possible combination in the four products, denoted by $[ij, kl, mn, st]$, belongs to one of the following thirty-nine types by changing the number i of u_i , if necessary:

- | | |
|----------------------|----------------------|
| 1: [11, 12, 13, 22] | 2: [11, 12, 13, 23] |
| 3: [11, 13, 22, 23] | 4: [11, 12, 22, 33] |
| 5: [11, 22, 33, 44] | 6: [11, 13, 14, 22] |
| 7: [11, 12, 13, 14] | 8: [11, 14, 22, 33] |
| 9: [11, 12, 13, 24] | 10: [11, 12, 22, 34] |
| 11: [11, 13, 22, 24] | 12: [11, 12, 24, 33] |
| 13: [11, 12, 23, 34] | 14: [11, 12, 23, 24] |
| 15: [11, 23, 24, 34] | 16: [12, 13, 14, 23] |
| 17: [12, 13, 24, 34] | 18: [11, 22, 33, 45] |
| 19: [11, 13, 22, 45] | 20: [11, 22, 34, 35] |
| 21: [11, 12, 23, 45] | 22: [11, 12, 13, 45] |
| 23: [11, 23, 24, 35] | 24: [11, 13, 24, 25] |
| 25: [13, 14, 15, 22] | 26: [12, 13, 14, 15] |
| 27: [12, 13, 14, 25] | 28: [12, 13, 24, 35] |
| 29: [12, 14, 23, 35] | 30: [12, 13, 23, 45] |
| 31: [11, 22, 34, 56] | 32: [11, 12, 34, 56] |
| 33: [11, 23, 24, 56] | 34: [12, 13, 14, 56] |
| 35: [12, 13, 24, 56] | 36: [13, 14, 25, 26] |
| 37: [11, 23, 45, 67] | 38: [12, 13, 45, 67] |
| 39: [12, 34, 56, 78] | |

Type 1: [11, 12, 13, 22]

We put $z_1 = u_1^2$, $z_2 = u_1 u_2$, $z_3 = u_1 u_3$, and $z_4 = u_2^2$. Then it is shown that $U^3 \subset \langle z_1 u_2, z_1 u_3, z_2 u_3, z_4 u_1 \rangle$ and so $\dim U^3 \leq 4$. \square

Type 2: [11, 12, 13, 23]

We put $z_1 = u_1^2$, $z_2 = u_1 u_2$, $z_3 = u_1 u_3$, and $z_4 = u_2 u_3$. Omitting the case that is reduced to Type 1, we obtain that $U^3 \subset \langle z_1 u_2, z_1 u_3, z_3 u_3, z_4 u_1 \rangle$ and so $\dim U^3 \leq 4$. \square

Type 3: [11, 13, 22, 23]

We put $z_1 = u_1^2$, $z_2 = u_1 u_3$, $z_3 = u_2^2$, and $z_4 = u_2 u_3$. Omitting the case that is reduced to Type 1 or Type 2, we obtain that $U^3 \subset \langle z_1 u_3, z_3 u_3, z_4 u_1 \rangle$ and that, together with the preceding results, $\dim U^3 \leq 4$. \square

Type 4: [11, 12, 22, 33]

We put $z_1 = u_1^2$, $z_2 = u_1 u_2$, $z_3 = u_2^2$, and $z_4 = u_3^2$. Omitting the case that is reduced to Type 1

or Type 3, we obtain that $U^3 \subset \langle z_1 u_2, z_3 u_1 \rangle$ and that, together with the prededing results, $\dim U^3 \leq 4$. \square

Type 5: [11, 22, 33, 44]

We put $z_1 = u_1^2$, $z_2 = u_2^2$, $z_3 = u_3^2$, and $z_4 = u_4^2$. Omitting the case that is reduced to Type 4, we obtain that $U^3 \subset \langle z_1 u_2, z_1 u_3, z_1 u_4, z_2 u_3, z_2 u_4, z_3 u_4 \rangle$ and that $z_1 u_2, z_1 u_3, z_1 u_4, z_2 u_3, z_2 u_4, z_3 u_4$ are linearly dependent, so $\dim U^3 \leq 5$, or else $(U^2)^2 = \langle 0 \rangle$. \square

Type 6: [11, 13, 14, 22]

We put $z_1 = u_1^2$, $z_2 = u_1 u_3$, $z_3 = u_1 u_4$, and $z_4 = u_2^2$. Omitting the case that is reduced to Type 1, 2, 3, 4, or 5, we obtain that $U^3 \subset \langle z_1 u_2, z_1 u_3, z_1 u_4, z_2 u_2, z_3 u_2, z_3 u_3 \rangle$ and that $z_1 u_2, z_1 u_3, z_1 u_4, z_2 u_2, z_3 u_2, z_3 u_3$ are linearly dependent, so $\dim U^3 \leq 5$, or else $(U^2)^2 = \langle 0 \rangle$. \square

Type 7: [11, 12, 13, 14]

We put $z_1 = u_1^2$, $z_2 = u_1 u_2$, $z_3 = u_1 u_3$, and $z_4 = u_1 u_4$. Omitting the case that is reduced to Type 1, 2, or 6, we obtain that $U^3 \subset \langle z_1 u_2, z_1 u_3, z_1 u_4, z_3 u_2, z_4 u_2, z_4 u_3 \rangle$ and that $(U^2)^2 = \langle 0 \rangle$. \square

Type 8: [11, 14, 22, 33]

We put $z_1 = u_1^2$, $z_2 = u_1 u_4$, $z_3 = u_2^2$, and $z_4 = u_3^2$. Omitting the case that is reduced to Type 1, 3, 4, 5, or 6, we obtain that $U^3 \subset \langle z_1 u_4, z_3 u_3 \rangle$ and so forth. \square

Type 9: [11, 12, 13, 24]

We put $z_1 = u_1^2$, $z_2 = u_1 u_2$, $z_3 = u_1 u_3$, and $z_4 = u_2 u_4$. Omitting the case that is reduced to Type 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, or 7, we obtain that $U^3 \subset \langle z_1 u_2, z_1 u_3, z_3 u_2, z_4 u_1 \rangle$ and so on. \square

Type 10: [11, 12, 22, 34]

We put $z_1 = u_1^2$, $z_2 = u_1 u_2$, $z_3 = u_2^2$, and $z_4 = u_3 u_4$. Omitting the case that is reduced to Type 1, 8, or 9, we obtain that $U^3 \subset \langle z_1 u_2, z_3 u_1 \rangle$ and so forth. \square

Type 11: [11, 13, 22, 24]

We put $z_1 = u_1^2$, $z_2 = u_1 u_3$, $z_3 = u_2^2$, and $z_4 = u_2 u_4$. Omitting the case that is reduced to Type 1, 3, 4, 6, 9, or 10, we obtain that $U^3 \subset \langle z_1 u_3, z_3 u_4 \rangle$ and so forth. \square

Type 12: [11, 12, 24, 33]

We put $z_1 = u_1^2$, $z_2 = u_1 u_2$, $z_3 = u_2 u_4$, and $z_4 = u_3^2$. Omitting the case that is reduced to Type

1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 10, or 11, we obtain that $U^3 \subset \langle z_1 u_2, z_3 u_1 \rangle$ and so forth. \square

Type 13: [11, 12, 23, 34]

We put $z_1 = u_1^2$, $z_2 = u_1 u_2$, $z_3 = u_2 u_3$, and $z_4 = u_3 u_4$. Omitting the case that is reduced to Type 1, 2, 3, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11 or 12, we obtain that $U^3 \subset \langle z_1 u_2, z_3 u_1, z_4 u_1, z_4 u_2 \rangle$ and so on. \square

Type 14: [11, 12, 23, 24]

We put $z_1 = u_1^2$, $z_2 = u_1 u_2$, $z_3 = u_2 u_3$, and $z_4 = u_2 u_4$. Omitting the case that is reduced to Type 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 12, or 13, we obtain that $U^3 \subset \langle z_1 u_2, z_3 u_1, z_4 u_1, z_4 u_3 \rangle$ and so on. \square

Type 15: [11, 23, 24, 34]

We put $z_1 = u_1^2$, $z_2 = u_2 u_3$, $z_3 = u_2 u_4$, and $z_4 = u_3 u_4$. Omitting the case that is reduced to Type 2, 6, 12, 13, or 14, we obtain that $U^3 \subset \langle z_3 u_3, z_4 u_2 \rangle$ and so forth. \square

Type 16: [12, 13, 14, 23]

We put $z_1 = u_1 u_2$, $z_2 = u_1 u_3$, $z_3 = u_1 u_4$, and $z_4 = u_2 u_3$. Omitting the case that is reduced to Type 2, 7, 9, 13, 14, or 15, we obtain that $U^3 \subset \langle z_2 u_2, z_3 u_2, z_3 u_3, z_4 u_1 \rangle$ and so forth. \square

Type 17: [12, 13, 24, 34]

We put $z_1 = u_1 u_2$, $z_2 = u_1 u_3$, $z_3 = u_2 u_4$, and $z_4 = u_3 u_4$. Omitting the case that is reduced to Type 9, 13, or 16, we obtain that $U^3 \subset \langle z_1 u_4, z_2 u_2, z_3 u_1, z_4 u_1, z_4 u_2 \rangle$ and so forth. \square

Type 18: [11, 22, 33, 45]

We put $z_1 = u_1^2$, $z_2 = u_2^2$, $z_3 = u_3^2$, and $z_4 = u_4 u_5$. Omitting the case that is reduced to Type 4, 5, 8, 10, or 12, we obtain that $U^3 \subset \langle z_1 u_2, z_1 u_3, z_2 u_3 \rangle$ and so forth. \square

Type 19: [11, 13, 22, 45]

We put $z_1 = u_1^2$, $z_2 = u_1 u_3$, $z_3 = u_2^2$, and $z_4 = u_4 u_5$. Omitting the case that is reduced to Type 1, 3, 4, 6, 9, 10, 11, 12, 16, or 18, we get that $U^3 \subset \langle z_1 u_2, z_1 u_3, z_2 u_2 \rangle$ and so forth. \square

Type 20: [11, 22, 34, 35]

We put $z_1 = u_1^2$, $z_2 = u_2^2$, $z_3 = u_3 u_4$, and $z_4 = u_3 u_5$. Omitting the case that is reduced to Type 8, 9, 10, 12, 14, 15, 16, 18, or 19, we get that $U^3 \subset \langle z_1 u_2, z_3 u_5 \rangle$ and so forth. \square

Type 21: [11, 12, 23, 45]

We put $z_1 = u_1^2$, $z_2 = u_1 u_2$, $z_3 = u_2 u_3$, and $z_4 = u_4 u_5$. Omitting the case that is reduced to Type

1, 2, 3, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, or 19, we obtain that $U^3 \subset \langle z_1 u_2, z_3 u_1 \rangle$ and so forth. \square

Type 22: [11, 12, 13, 45]

We put $z_1 = u_1^2$, $z_2 = u_1 u_2$, $z_3 = u_1 u_3$, and $z_4 = u_4 u_5$. Omitting the case that is reduced to Type 1, 2, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 13, or 21, we obtain that $U^3 \subset \langle z_1 u_2, z_1 u_3, z_2 u_3 \rangle$ and so forth. \square

Type 23: [11, 23, 24, 35]

We put $z_1 = u_1^2$, $z_2 = u_2 u_3$, $z_3 = u_2 u_4$, and $z_4 = u_3 u_5$. Omitting the case that is reduced to Type 6, 9, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 19, 20, 21, or 22, we obtain that $U^3 \subset \langle z_2 u_4, z_2 u_5, z_3 u_1, z_3 u_5, z_4 u_1 \rangle$ and so forth. \square

Type 24: [11, 13, 24, 25]

We put $z_1 = u_1^2$, $z_2 = u_1 u_3$, $z_3 = u_2 u_4$, and $z_4 = u_2 u_5$. Omitting the case that is reduced to Type 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 19, 20, 21, or 22, we obtain that $U^3 \subset \langle z_1 u_3, z_2 u_4, z_2 u_5, z_3 u_1, z_4 u_1, z_4 u_4 \rangle$ and that $z_1 u_3, z_2 u_4, z_2 u_5, z_3 u_1, z_4 u_1, z_4 u_4$ are linearly dependent, so $\dim U^3 \leq 5$, or else $(U^2)^2 = \langle 0 \rangle$ and that $\dim U^3 \leq 6$. \square

Type 25: [13, 14, 15, 22]

We put $z_1 = u_1 u_3$, $z_2 = u_1 u_4$, $z_3 = u_1 u_5$, and $z_4 = u_2^2$. Omitting the case that is reduced to Type 6, 7, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 19, 20, 23, or 24, we obtain that $U^3 \subset \langle z_1 u_4, z_1 u_5, z_2 u_5 \rangle$ and so forth. \square

Type 26: [12, 13, 14, 15]

We put $z_1 = u_1 u_2$, $z_2 = u_1 u_3$, $z_3 = u_1 u_4$, and $z_4 = u_1 u_5$. Omitting the case that is reduced to Type 7, 14, 16, or 25, we obtain that $U^3 \subset \langle z_1 u_3, z_1 u_4, z_1 u_5, z_2 u_4, z_2 u_5, z_3 u_5 \rangle$ and that $z_1 u_3, z_1 u_4, z_1 u_5, z_2 u_4, z_2 u_5, z_3 u_5$ are linearly dependent, so $\dim U^3 \leq 5$, or else $(U^2)^2 = \langle 0 \rangle$ and that $\dim U^3 \leq 6$. \square

Type 27: [12, 13, 14, 25]

We put $z_1 = u_1 u_2$, $z_2 = u_1 u_3$, $z_3 = u_1 u_4$, and $z_4 = u_2 u_5$. Omitting the case that is reduced to Type 7, 9, 13, 14, 16, 17, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, or 26, we obtain that $U^3 \subset \langle z_1 u_3, z_1 u_4, z_1 u_5, z_2 u_4 \rangle$ and so forth. \square

Type 28: [12, 13, 24, 35]

We put $z_1 = u_1 u_2$, $z_2 = u_1 u_3$, $z_3 = u_2 u_4$, and $z_4 = u_3 u_5$. Omitting the case that is reduced to Type 9, 13, 16, 17, 21, 22, 23, 24, or 27, we ob-

tain that $U^3 \subset \langle z_1 u_3, z_1 u_4, z_2 u_5 \rangle$ and so forth. \square

Type 29: [12, 14, 23, 35]

We put $z_1 = u_1 u_2$, $z_2 = u_1 u_4$, $z_3 = u_2 u_3$, and $z_4 = u_3 u_5$. Omitting the case that is reduced to Type 9, 13, 16, 17, 21, 22, 23, 24 or 27, we get that $U^3 \subset \langle z_1 u_3, z_1 u_4, z_3 u_5 \rangle$ and so on. \square

Type 30: [12, 13, 23, 45]

We put $z_1 = u_1 u_2$, $z_2 = u_1 u_3$, $z_3 = u_2 u_3$, and $z_4 = u_4 u_5$. Omitting the case that is reduced to Type 2, 15, 16, 21, 22, 24, 27, or 28, we obtain that $U^3 \subset \langle z_1 u_3, z_3 u_1 \rangle$ and so forth. \square

Type 31: [11, 22, 34, 56]

We put $z_1 = u_1^2$, $z_2 = u_2^2$, $z_3 = u_3 u_4$, and $z_4 = u_5 u_6$. Omitting the case that is reduced to Type 8, 10, 12, 18, 19, 20, 21, or 23, we obtain that $U^3 \subset K z_1 u_2$ and so forth. \square

Type 32: [11, 12, 34, 56]

We put $z_1 = u_1^2$, $z_2 = u_1 u_2$, $z_3 = u_3 u_4$, and $z_4 = u_5 u_6$. Omitting the case that is reduced to Type 9, 10, 11, 13, 19, 21, 22, 23, 24, or 31, we obtain that $U^3 \subset K z_1 u_2$ and so forth. \square

Type 33: [11, 23, 24, 56]

We put $z_1 = u_1^2$, $z_2 = u_2 u_3$, $z_3 = u_2 u_4$, and $z_4 = u_5 u_6$. Omitting the case that is reduced to Type 6, 12, 13, 14, 15, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 27, 29, 30, 31, or 32, we obtain that $U^3 \subset K z_2 u_4$ and so forth. \square

Type 34: [12, 13, 14, 56]

We put $z_1 = u_1 u_2$, $z_2 = u_1 u_3$, $z_3 = u_1 u_4$, and $z_4 = u_5 u_6$. Omitting the case that is reduced to Type 7, 14, 16, 21, 22, 24, 25, 26, 27, 29, 30, or 33, we obtain that $U^3 \subset \langle z_1 u_3, z_1 u_4, z_2 u_4 \rangle$ and so forth. \square

Type 35: [12, 13, 24, 56]

We put $z_1 = u_1 u_2$, $z_2 = u_1 u_3$, $z_3 = u_2 u_4$, and $z_4 = u_5 u_6$. Omitting the case that is reduced to Type 9, 13, 16, 17, 21, 22, 23, 24, 27, 28, 29, 30, 32, 33, or 34, we obtain that $U^3 \subset \langle z_1 u_3, z_1 u_4 \rangle$ and so forth. \square

Type 36: [13, 14, 25, 26]

We put $z_1 = u_1 u_3$, $z_2 = u_1 u_4$, $z_3 = u_2 u_5$, and $z_4 = u_2 u_6$. Omitting the case that is reduced to Type 21, 22, 24, 27, 29, 30, 33, 34, or 35, we obtain that $U^3 \subset \langle z_1 u_4, z_3 u_6 \rangle$ and so on. \square

Type 37: [11, 23, 45, 67]

We put $z_1 = u_1^2$, $z_2 = u_2u_3$, $z_3 = u_4u_5$, and $z_4 = u_6u_7$. Omitting the case that is reduced to Type 19, 21, 23, 31, 32, 33, or 35, we obtain that $U^3 = \langle 0 \rangle$ and so forth. \square

Type 38: [12, 13, 45, 67]

We put $z_1 = u_1u_2$, $z_2 = u_1u_3$, $z_3 = u_4u_5$, and $z_4 = u_6u_7$. Omitting the case that is reduced to Type 21, 22, 24, 27, 29, 30, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, or 37, we obtain that $U^3 \subset Kz_1u_3$ and so on. \square

Type 39: [12, 34, 56, 78]

We put $z_1 = u_1u_2$, $z_2 = u_3u_4$, $z_3 = u_5u_6$, and $z_4 = u_7u_8$. Omitting the case that is reduced to Type 32, 35, 37, or 38, we obtain that $U^3 = \langle 0 \rangle$ and so forth. \square

Corollary 4. If $\dim U^2 = 4$ and $U^2 = Z$, then $\dim UZ \leq 5$ or else $Z^2 = \langle 0 \rangle$ and, in either case, $\dim UZ \leq 6$.

Remark on Theorem 5.

One cannot replace the number 6 in the theorem with any other less values. In order to show this, we shall construct the example of Bernstein algebra in which $\dim U^2 = 4$ and $\dim U^3 = 6$ (and $(U^2)^2 = \langle 0 \rangle$).

Example.

Let $A = \langle e, u_1, \dots, u_{10}, z_1, \dots, z_4 \rangle$ be a commutative 15-dimensional algebra having the following multiplication table:

$$\begin{aligned} e^2 &= e & eu_i &= \frac{1}{2}u_i & ez_j &= 0 & u_i^2 &= z_j \\ & & & & & & & (i=1, \dots, 10; j=1, \dots, 4) \\ u_1u_2 &= \alpha z_1 + \frac{1}{4}\alpha^{-1}z_2 \\ u_1u_3 &= 2\alpha\beta z_1 + \frac{1}{8}(\alpha\beta)^{-1}\gamma z_3 \\ u_1u_4 &= 4\alpha\beta\gamma z_1 + \frac{1}{16}(\alpha\beta\gamma)^{-1}z_4 \\ u_2u_3 &= \beta z_2 + \frac{1}{4}\beta^{-1}z_3 \\ u_2u_4 &= 2\beta\gamma z_2 + \frac{1}{8}(\beta\gamma)^{-1}z_4 \\ u_3u_4 &= \gamma z_3 + \frac{1}{4}\gamma^{-1}z_4 \\ z_1u_2 &= u_5 & z_1u_3 &= u_6 & z_1u_4 &= u_7 \\ z_2u_3 &= u_8 & z_2u_4 &= u_9 & z_3u_4 &= u_{10} \\ z_2u_1 &= -2\alpha u_5 & z_3u_1 &= -4\alpha\beta u_6 \\ z_4u_1 &= -8\alpha\beta\gamma u_7 & z_3u_2 &= -2\beta u_8 \end{aligned}$$

$$z_4u_2 = -4\beta\gamma u_9 \quad z_4u_3 = -2\gamma u_{10},$$

where α, β, γ are arbitrary nonzero elements in K , and other products are zero. Then one can see that A is a Bernstein algebra having the decomposition $A = Ke \dot{+} U \dot{+} Z$ with respect to the idempotent e with $U = \langle u_1, \dots, u_{10} \rangle$, $Z = \langle z_1, \dots, z_4 \rangle$ and, moreover, that it satisfies $U^2 = Z$, $U^3 = \langle u_5, \dots, u_{10} \rangle$ and $(U^2)^2 = \langle 0 \rangle$.

We hope to generalize the relation between $\dim U^2$ and $\dim U^3$ to the case of $\dim U^2 > 4$. For that purpose it may be more desirable to prove Theorem 3, Theorem 4, and Theorem 5 in rather conceptual method than such computational one as given here.

References

- 1) A. Wörz-Busekros, *Algebras in Genetics* Springer-Verlag. Berlin-Heidelberg. pp.203-223 (1980)